



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,371	12/02/2002	Massimo Zanzi	METR0410US	1764	
24235 75	90 06/10/2005		EXAMINER		
LEVINE & MANDELBAUM 444 MADISON AVENUE			TRAN, CONGVAN		
35TH FLOOR	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, 1	NEW YORK, NY 10022			2683	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/10/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)		
			Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany		10/088,371	ZANZI, MASSIMO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		CongVan Tran	2683		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	ecember 2004.			
·	•	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen			(770.440)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/088,371 Page 2

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed Dec. 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Response to Arguments

2. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, regarding to claims 1, and 12, Nickum discloses a portable cellular phone having a first part comprising interface means for performing subscriber interface functions (see fig.1, element 16 and its description) and a second part comprising transceiver means for transmitting and receiving over a telecommunications cellular telephone network (see fig.1, elements 14, and its description), said first part and second part being releasable connected to each other (see fig.1, element 14, 16 col.3, lines 23-25 and its description), except for first part and second part being in bidirectional communication with each other when separated. However, Mardirossian discloses a portable cellular phone (3) and a pager (29) being in bi-directional communication with each other when separated (see fig.2, elements 3 and 29), and said first part further comprising means for wireless communications with a further

telecommunication network or with a telecommunication station (see fig.1, 29 (first part), and 5, 7 (telecommunication network or with a telecommunication station) and its description). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Mardirossian's pager in Nickum's portable communication apparatus in order to improve the use of telecommunication system.

With reason above the previous rejection is sustained (see previous action sent on Sept. 27, 2004).

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 2683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAN CRAMMEN

CongVan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2683

May 31, 2005.